1 526 129 (11)

PATENT SPECIFICATION

(21) Application No. 41960/76 (22) Filed 8 Oct. 1976

(31) Convention Application No. 50/121 335

(32) Filed 9 Oct. 1975 in

(33) Japan (JP)

(44) Complete Specification published 27 Sept. 1978

(51) INT CL2 C02B 1/20

(52) Index at acceptance

C1C 20X 210 217 253 254 320 400 40Y B

(72) Inventors KOICHIRO FUJIYASU, KATSUTOSHI ITANI, TSUNEO FUKAZAWA and SHIGEKI YONEYAMA



(54) SOLID-LIQUID SEPARATION METHOD FOR ORGANIC WASTE SLUDGE

We, TOKAI DENKA KOGYO KABUSHIKI KAISHA, a Japanese company, of Room 428 Ohtemachi Building, 6—1, 1—Chome, Ohtemachi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan, do hereby declare the invention, for which we pray that a patent may be granted to us, and the method by which it is to be performed, to be particularly described in and by the following statement:-

This invention relates to solid-liquid separation of organic materials in the treatment of aqueous sludges, e.g. from sewage, night soil and industrial

wastewater.

5

10

15

20

. 25

30

35

40

45

Chemical, biological or other treatment has recently been applied for the treatment of sewage, night soil, or industrial wastewater. The biological treatments which produce lower biological oxygen demand (BOD) or chemical oxygen demand (COD) in effluent after the treatment has more recently found wider acceptance in view of wastewater control. In cases where chemical or physical treatment is carried out, conversion to or combination with biological treatment is adopted. In any of the above cited methods of treatment it is necessary to remove organic materials insoluble or suspended in water. For their rapid separation are used such reagents as lime, ferric chloride, ferrous sulfate and macromolecular flocculant.

A typical active sludge treatment method that is widely employed generally consists of an initial settling basin, an aeration tank, a final settling basin and a digestion tank for the effluent sludge. Separation of organic solid contents is needed during these steps for raw sludge from the initial settling basin, effluent sludge from the final settling basin and digested sludge from the digestion tank.

Solid-liquid separation for these sludges has heretofore been performed with lime and ferric chloride and, recently in some instances, with macromolecular flocculant. These reagents, however, are not universally satisfactory for the solid-liquid separation of any sludge.

In a sewerage station receiving industrial waste-water, for instance, cake acceptable in solid-liquid separation for raw sludge is formed first by the addition of an excess of lime and ferric chloride; for the effluent sludge these reagents are not satisfactory for the best formation of flocs. As a matter of fact, the reagent is incorporated portion-wise into the raw sludge for the treatment. Consequently, the amount of lime and ferric chloride used is increased with the result that the amount of cake formed is also increased so that transportation and incineration of the cake as well as treatment of the ash after the incineration are very expensive. Furthermore, after the solid-liquid separation, the filtrate is alkaline with the lime and neutralizing it with sulfuric acid results in formation of gypsum, which clogs piping; expense for repair and maintenance of the piping cannot be neglected. Moreover, lime is undesirable from the work environment and hygiene-controlling point of view in that, being in the form of powder, it is liable to develop dust pollution and in some cases, contains much chromium, depending upon the origin. On the other hand, many of the macromolecular flocculants are toxic due to their molecular structures so that the solution after the solid-liquid separation will possibly be harmful to the organisms if returned to the active sludge.

Acc rding to one method, which is concerned with night soil treatment, hydrogen peroxide is effective in the solid-liquid separation even when employed



5

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

	alone and combined use of hydrogen peroxide with ferrous sulfate or ferrous	
-	sulfate and lime is also effective. Whereas application of hydrogen peroxide alone	
	to solid-liquid separation of the organic sludge is feasible, the flocs formed are soft	
_	and friable and subsequent filtration and dehydration may be difficult depending	5 .
5	upon such conditions as agitation, pH and temperature. With hydrogen peroxide	5
	and ferrous sulfate, ferrous ions flow out into the filtrate from the solid-liquid	
	separation and may be harmful to the organisms if returned to the active-sludge- treatment step, although there are formed flocs hardly influenced by such	
	conditions as agitation, pH and temperature, with the cake after filtration being	
10	acceptable.	10
10	In accordance with the present invention there is provided a method of solid-	10
	liquid separation in the treatment of organic waste aqueous sludge that comprises	
	adding to the sludge from 0.5 to 30% by weight of hydrogen peroxide and from	
	0.1% to 10% by weight of a metal ion with at least trivalent positive charge on the	
15	basis of solid content of the sludge while maintaining the pH at or lower than 9 by	15
	the addition of an acid or alkali to form stable organic flocs and subsequently	
	conducting solid-liquid separation by settling, suction or centrifugal separation	
	process.	
	While this invention can overcome the disadvantages mentioned above, it	
20	provides a method of treatment that may offer many advantages over prior	20
	methods of treatment, e.g. with lime and ferric chloride, ferrous sulfate, or	
	a macromolecular flocculant. Although the exact mechanism of the action of	
	hydrogen peroxide and a metal ion with at least a trivalent charge is not	
26	understood, it is believed that the metal ions are electrically adsorbed upon the	26
25	aggregates produced from organic insoluble or suspended materials with hydrogen peroxide by its aggregative action so that combined use of the two exerts such an	25
	effect entirely unexpected from the use of either of them. In fact, the flocs formed	
	are so stable that they are by no means degraded under pH variation, temperature	
	change and agitation and are filterable.	
30	The method according to the invention will be described in embodiment	30
50	below. For the raw sludge from the sedimentation sand basin in chemical or	
	physical treatment, the settling basin in active sludge step or the initial storage	
	tank in night soil treatment, the effluent sludge from the active sludge treatment	
	step, or the digested sludge from the anaerobic treatment step, from 0.5% to 30%	
35	by weight of hydrogen peroxide in terms of pure substance on the basis of solid	35
-	content of the sludge is employed. More particularly, the range is from 0.5% to	
	10% by weight for the raw sludge, from 4% to 20% by weight for the effluent	
	sludge and from 0.5% to 30% by weight for the digested sludge, the last increased	
	range being ascribed to concomitant removal of such products as hydrogen sulfide	40
40	and mercaptans. It is economical to determine the proportion individually	70
	depending inter alia upon the nature of organic materials contained in the wastewater and reducible substances formed with the digested sludge after the	
	anaerobic treatment. Hydrogen peroxide as defined in the present invention	
	means not only hydrogen peroxide itself but also compounds that are soluble in	
AE	water with evolution of hydrogen peroxide including sodium perborate, sodium	45
45	percarbonate, calcium peroxide, persulfates and organic peroxides.	
	The metal ion with at least trivalent positive charge is added in a proportion in	
	the range from 0.1 to 10% by weight on the basis of solid content of the sludge,	
	more particularly from 0.1 to 5% with a raw sludge, from 1 to 7% with the effluent	
50	sludge and from 0.1 to 10% with the digested sludge. It is economically preferable	50
	to determine the proportion individually depending upon the product to be	
	treated. As the metal ion with 3 or higher valency may be mentioned Fe3+, A13+,	
	Cr ³⁺ , Cr ⁶⁺ , Ti ⁴⁺ , V ³⁺ , V ⁴⁺ and V ⁵⁺ . Cr ³⁺ , being toxic, and Ti and V compound being expensive are undesirable. In general, Fe ³⁺ and Al ³⁺ are preferred.	
	expensive are undesirable. In general, Fer and Air are preferred.	55
55	Selection of the acid or alkali used for maintaining the pH at or lower than 9 is	33
	dependent upon the liquid properties of the sludge. Preferably, mineral acids such as hydrochloric, sulfuric and phosphoric acids and organic acids are used as the	
	acid and salts with inorganic acids such as sodium hydroxide, sodium carbonate	
	and calcium carbonate. Since the active sludge is usually under nearly neutral	
60	conditions in liquid phase, use of a conventional metal salt such as, for example,	. 60
60	ferric chloride, aluminum chloride, ferric sulfate or aluminum sulfate would allow	. •
	an acid to remain following adsorption of the metal ion on the floc with the result	
	that the pH would be maintained at or lower than 9. A pH above 4 is preferred	
	because of development of corrosion in the equipment at pHs at or below 4.	

•

Comparative Example 1:

To a raw sludge with a solid content of 2—3% were added 30—50% by weight of lime [Ca(OH),1] on the basis of the solid content and 10—13% by weight of ferric chloride (FeCl,1) on the basis of the solid content. The mixture was treated in small and large filtration equipment. Filtration was done under a pressure of 3 kg/cm² for 6 min. and the compression at 10 kg/cm² for 10 min. A number of samples were tested and the data are set forth in Table 1 (numbers 1—7). Ś

TABLE I

cake

		Solid		On the solid content (%)	ent (%)	To be the		Ę	Water	Filtration
No.	Equipment	(%)	Ca(OH),	FeC1,	Filtrate	Appearance	Peeling	muckness (mm)	Content (%)	kate (kg/m²/H)
1	Small	3	30	9	10.0	Bad	Bad		19	
7	2 " "	•	40	7	10.5	Good	Good	2.5	2 %	3.8
ers	6		20	6	11.0		· .	3.1	53	4.2
4	Large	7	40	۲ .	10.5	Poor	Bad	3.2	61	3.1
5	:	2	45	∞	11.0	рсоО	Good	2.6	54	4.1
9	•	:	20	6	11.2	*	:	2.9	57	4.5
7	2	2	2	6	11.0	Bad	Bad	ı	ı	l

EXAMPLE 1.

To a raw sludge with a solid content of 2—5% were added 1%—3% by weight of hydrogen peroxide (H,O₁) in terms of the pure substance on the basis of the solid content and 3%—6% by weight of ferric chloride on the basis of the solid content. The mixture was thoroughly admixed and treated in small and large filtration equipment. Filtration was done under a pressure of 3 kg/cm² for 6 min. and the compression at 10 kg/cm² for 10 min. A number of examples of the invention were run and the data are set forth in Table II (humbers 8—17).

S

TABLE II

S Comparison was made between the method involving use of lime and ferric chloride and that of hydrogen peroxide and ferric chloride for an effluent sludge containing 0.8% solid. The filtration was done under a pressure of 3 kg/cm² for 10 min. and the compression at 10 kg/cm² for 15 min. These data are in Table III (numbers 18—22). S

		Solid	On th	On the solid content (%)	ant (%)		cake		Filtration Water	
		Content			(0)			T 1	ualci	
No.	Equipment	(%)	Ca(0H)2	H ₂ 0,	FeC1,	Appearance Peeling	Peeling	I nickness (mm)	Content (%)	Kate (kg/m²/H)
18	Small	8.0	100	1	10	Bad	Bad	1	1	ı
19.	2	:	150	ŧ	. 15	:	:	t	i	
70		:	1	9	'n	Good	Good	2.7	89	2.9
21	:	:	1		9	:	:	3.3	28	3.4
22		"	I	6	7	•	:	3.5	53	4.1

20 EXAMPLE 3.

Comparison was made between the method involving use of lime and ferric chloride and that of hydrogen peroxide and aluminum sulfate [Al₂(SO₄)₃] for a digested sludge containing 2% solid. These data are in Table IV (numbers 23—27). 9

TABLE IV

		Solid		On the coli	On the solid content (%)		cake		Filtration	ition Water	
		Content		OIL MIC DOL	(a) Auronion ai				Thickness		Rate
Š	No. Equipment (%) Ca(OH	(%)	Ca(0H),)H), H,O,	FeC1,	A12(SO4),	Al ₂ (SO ₄), Appearance Peeling	Peeling	(mm)	(%)	(kg/m²/H)
23	Small	2	2 30	1	9	1	Poor	Poor	4.0	67	2.5
24	24 ,,,	:	20	1	6	ı	Good	Good	3.5	55	3.8
25	•	:	1	7	1	9	*		4.3	57	3.1
26	:	:	ı	7	1	∞	:	:	2.9	51	4.3
27	11	:	1	3	ı	12	:	•	3.0	49	4.2

1. A method of solid-liquid separation in the treatment of organic waste aqueous sludge that comprises adding to the sludge from 0.5 to 30% by weight of hydrogen peroxide and from 0.1% to 10% by weight of a metal ion with at least trivalent positive charge on the basis of solid content of the sludge while maintaining the pH at or lower than 9 by the addition of an acid or alkali to form stable organic flocs and subsequently conducting solid-liquid separation by settling, suction or centrifugal separation process.

10 2. A method as claimed in Claim 1 in which the sludge is raw sludge and 0.5% to 10% hydrogen peroxide with 0.1% to 5% metal ion with at least trivalent positive charge is used.

3. A method as claimed in Claim 1 in which the sludge is effluent sludge and 4% to 20% hydrogen peroxide is utilized with 1% to 7% metal ion having at least trivalent positive charge.

4. A method as claimed in Claim 1 in which the sludge is digested sludge.

5. A method as claimed in any preceding claim in which the metal ion is Fe³⁺ S WHAT WE CLAIM IS:-S

2

2

15

15

or Al3+.

5

6. A method as claimed in any preceding claim in which the pH is maintained

above 4.
7. A method as claimed in Claim 1 substantially as hereinbefore described in any one of the foregoing Examples.

8. Organic waste sludge produced by a method as claimed in any one of

Claims 1 to 7.

For the Applicants D YOUNG & CO., Chartered Patent Agents, 9 & 10 Staple Inn, London WC1V 7RD.

Printed for Her Majesty's Stationery Office by the Courier Press, Leamington Spa, 1978. Published by the Patent Office, 25 Southampton Buildings, London, WC2A 1AY, from which copies may be obtained.